

Mass-Casualty Terrorism and Strategic Surprise in Mumbai and Paris: Understanding the Islamist Perspective¹

Emmanuel (Manos) Karagiannis

(Associate Professor in the Department of Defence Studies at King's College London. His new book, "The New Political Islam: Human Rights, Democracy and Justice" has been published by the University of Pennsylvania Press. The book examines different manifestations of contemporary Islamism. He is the author of Political Islam in Central Asia (Routledge, 2010) and Energy and Security in the Caucasus (Routledge, 2002)

**Copyright: @ 2025 Research Institute for European and American Studies
(www.rieas.gr) Publication date: 8 June 2025**

Note: The article reflects the opinion of the author and not necessarily the views of the Research Institute for European and American Studies

Introduction

The article explores the phenomenon of mass-casualty terrorism, focusing on the 2008 Mumbai attacks and the 2015 Paris attacks. These events are analyzed through the lens of strategic surprise and Islamist ideological motivations, offering a culturalist perspective that emphasizes the role of religion in shaping terrorist strategies.

Defining Mass-Casualty Terrorism

Mass-casualty terrorism refers to coordinated, high-impact attacks that overwhelm emergency services and result in hundreds of deaths and injuries. Though rare, such attacks have a disproportionate psychological and political impact. Between 2001 and 2020, only 0.19% of terrorist attacks caused over 100 deaths, with the vast majority perpetrated by Islamist groups.

Mumbai and Paris: Case Studies

Mumbai (2008)

Ten militants from Lashkar-e-Taiba (LeT) attacked multiple locations in Mumbai, including hotels, a train station, and a Jewish center. The attackers, trained in Pakistan, used firearms, grenades, and communication devices. The siege lasted three days, killing

¹ Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism, 19(4), 2024, 484–500 open access.

166 people and injuring hundreds. *Indian intelligence had received warnings but failed to act effectively.*

Paris (2015)

ISIS operatives launched coordinated attacks on a stadium, cafes, and the Bataclan concert hall, killing 130 and injuring over 350. The attackers were mostly European nationals with combat experience in Syria. Despite prior warnings and known threats, *French intelligence failed to prevent the assault.*

Both attacks:

- Were nighttime operations.
- Targeted multiple soft targets.
- Overwhelmed local security forces.
- Lacked clear political demands, suggesting ideological or symbolic motives.

Strategic Surprise: Copeland's Framework

Using Thomas Copeland's five-question framework, the article evaluates the strategic surprise of both attacks:

1. **Nature and Impact:** Both attacks shocked national and global audiences, prompting legislative and military responses.
2. **Foreseeability:** While prior attacks occurred, the scale and coordination were unprecedented.
3. **Threat Environment:** Both countries misread evolving threats—India underestimated LeT's capabilities; France overlooked ISIS's global ambitions.
4. **Intelligence and Policy Failures:** Fragmented intelligence systems and cognitive biases contributed to the failure to prevent the attacks.
5. **Avoidability:** The attacks might have been preventable with better recognition of the groups' evolving strategies.

The Islamist Perspective on Surprise

The article argues that religion, as a contextualized form of culture, plays a central role in shaping Islamist terrorist strategies. Drawing on Islamic history and radical literature, the attackers framed their actions as religiously justified and divinely mandated.

- **Historical Precedents:** Early Islamic military strategies, including surprise night raids by the Prophet Muhammad, are cited as inspirational models.
- **Radical Texts:** Works like *The Quranic Concept of War* (Malik), *Management of Savagery* (Naji), and writings by al-Qaeda theorists emphasize terror as both a means and an end, legitimized by religious doctrine.
- **Cultural Framing:** The attackers viewed their actions as part of a broader religious duty to defend Islam, drawing on narratives of martyrdom and divine justice.

This perspective challenges Western rationalist assumptions and highlights the need to understand terrorism through cultural and religious lenses.

Implications for Intelligence

The article critiques the secular bias prevalent in intelligence analysis, particularly in countries like India and France, where religious factors are often excluded from security assessments. *It advocates for the integration of religious intelligence*—an approach that considers how beliefs, rituals, and symbols shape terrorist behavior. The analysis highlights that both countries had access to relevant intelligence data but failed to interpret it effectively due to *cultural misunderstandings* and fragmented organizational structures.

The Mumbai and Paris attacks exemplify how strategic surprise can be culturally driven and ideologically motivated. *By integrating religious and cultural analysis into counterterrorism strategies, intelligence agencies can better anticipate and prevent future mass-casualty events.* The article calls for a reconceptualization of terrorism studies, one that acknowledges the Islamist perspective on violence and surprise as rooted in historical, religious, and cultural narratives.

References:

- Atran, S. (2010). *Talking to the Enemy: Violent Extremism, Sacred Values, and What It Means to Be Human*. Penguin.
- Copeland, T. (2000). *Strategic Surprise*. Routledge.
- Juergensmeyer, M. (2003). *Terror in the Mind of God: The Global Rise of Religious Violence*. University of California Press.
- Malik, B. (1979). *The Quranic Concept of War*. Adam Publishers.
- Naji, A. (2004). *Management of Savagery*. Ibn al-Nafis Center.
- Holmes, R. (2006). *Acts of War: The Behavior of Men in Battle*. Free Press.
- Goodwin, J. (2006). What Do We Really Know About Islamic Terrorism? *Social Research*, 73(2), 579-606.